# About OSCE Academy Policy Briefs

The OSCE Academy’s Policy Briefs are published on line in PDF format on the OSCE Academy’s website [**http://www.osce-academy.net/en/research/publication/policy-briefs/**](http://www.osce-academy.net/en/research/publication/policy-briefs/)

The OSCE Academy’s Policy Briefs are intended to foster interaction among the policy, expert and scholarly communities. Policy briefs deal with current issues of politics, security, society, and economy that are relevant to Central Asia and the wider OSCE area. OSCE Academy Policy Briefs are published on-line in PDF format on the Academy’s website and shared with the OSCE, its institutions, and the Academy’s partners.

# The review

This is double blind peer review. The author will not know the reviewer’s identity and the reviewer will not see the author’s name.

1. Please provide your comments below for each section:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Evaluation of the text against expectations as stipulated here:** | **Comments** |
| Executive summary: logical presentation of argument and accuracy |  |
| Discussion of existing challenges: the problematization is comprehensive and provides with a clear focus; the question posed is original in both its policy relevance and with regard to the scholarlydiscourse. |  |
| Proposed or implemented responses: responses to the challenges / solutions to the problem are described ina structured form with sufficient thoroughness and depth of analysis |  |
| Policy dilemmas and trade-offs: the reflection on policy dilemmas is logical and comprehensible; it allows to understand the wider implications of any challenge/responses and problem/solution nexus andprepares the ground for any recommendation |  |
| Recommendations: any recommendations refer, if even implicitly, to the preceding discussion and reflection; recommendations are few in numbers, targeted to selected audiences and include a feasibilityassessment |  |
| Style: writing is clear and discussion follows academic style; accuracy of references and facts as well as overall comprehensibility of reasoning |  |

1. Choose one of the following three options and provide your **detailed comments for improvement (preferably written as comments into the text document; or listed separately with clear indication of the part of the text requiring corrections).**
2. Paper needs major review
3. Paper needs minor review
4. Paper needs complete rewriting